

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**MONICA H.,<sup>1</sup>**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**MARTIN O'MALLEY, ACTING  
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 23-cv-3837-SMY**

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

**YANDLE, District Judge:**

Before the Court is the parties' Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner (Doc. 20), seeking to have this case remanded for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A sentence four remand (as opposed to a sentence six remand) is based upon a finding of error, and is itself a final, appealable order. *See Perlman v. Swiss Bank Corporation Comprehensive Disability Protection Plan*, 195 F.3d 975, 978 (7th Cir. 1999). Upon a sentence four remand, judgment should be entered in favor of the plaintiff. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302-303 (1993). Here, the parties agree that, upon remand, the Administrative Law Judge should offer the Plaintiff a new hearing, proceed with the sequential disability evaluation process as necessary, obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony if warranted, and issue a new decision.

---

<sup>1</sup> In keeping with the Court's practice, Plaintiff's full name will not be used in this Memorandum and Order due to privacy concerns. *See* Fed.R.Civ.P. 5.2(c) and the Advisory Committee Notes.

For good cause shown, the parties' Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner (Doc. 20) is **GRANTED**. The final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's application for social security disability benefits is **REVERSED and REMANDED** to the Commissioner for rehearing and reconsideration of the evidence, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and close this case.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED: May 28, 2024**



**STACI M. YANDLE**  
**United States District Judge**